8100164

# THE COURTED STAYIES OF AMIERIOA

# TO ALL TO WHOM THESE: PRESENTS: SHALL COME; Purdue University Agricultural Experiment Station and ARS-USDA

Colhereas. There has been presented to the

### Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLI-CANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF eighteen YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EX-LIDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, PORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT. NITED STATES SEED OF THIS VARIETY (1) SHALL BE SOLD BY VARIETY NAME ONLY AS CERTIFIED SEED AND (2) SHALL CONFORM TO THE NUMBER OF GENERATIONS THE OWNER OF THE RIGHTS. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

\*[Waived]

COMMON WHEAT

'Aubwin'

In Lestimony Winercot, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington

this 27th day of May in the year of our Lord one thousand nine

hundred and eighty-two.

Plant Variety Protection O.

Agricultural Marketing Service

Secretary of Agriculture

m R Bla

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT  AGRICULTURAL MARK	ETING SERVICE			FORM APPROVED OMB NO. 40-R3822	
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIE		N CERTIFICATE		nt variety protection may mpleted application form	
INSTRUCTIONS: See Reverse,  1a. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION OF	1b. VARIETY NAME		FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY		
Purdue 6919509-4-1-1	l L		PV NUMBER	8100164	
2. KIND NAME	3. GENUS AND SPE	CIES NAME	FILING DATE	TIME A,M.	
Wheat	Triticum ae	estivum	9/8/81 FEE RECEIVED	12:00 P.M.	
4. FAMILY NAME (BOTANICAL)	5. DATE OF DETER	RMINATION	s 500.00	9/8/81	
Gramineae	January 1,	1981	\$ 250.00	1/22/82	
6. NAME OF APPLICANT(S)		t and No. or R.F.D. No.,	City, State, and ZIP	8. TELEPHONE AREA	
Director, Purdue Univ. Agric.	Code)	lue University		CODE AND NUMBER	
Experiment Station and ARS-USDA	West	: Lafayette, IN	47907	317-494-8360	
9. IF THE NAMED APPLICANT IS NOT A PE ORGANIZATION: (Corporation, partnersh	ip, association, etc.)	DATE OF INCOR	ed, give state and poparion y Federal Law	11. DATE OF INCOR- PORATION 1889	
Agricultural Experiment Sta		(Hatch Act)	·		
12. NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF APPI ALL PAPERS: Dr. B. R. Baum Purdue Univers	gardt, Directo ity Agricultur	r		ATION AND RECEIVE	
West Lafayette			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACH					
13A. Exhibit A, Origin and Bree		Variety (See Section :	52 of the Plant Variety	y Protection Act.)	
13B. Exhibit B, Novelty Statem	ent.				
🗵 13C. Exhibit C, Objective Descr	iption of the Variety	(Request form from	Plant Variety Protect	ion Office.)	
X 13D. Exhibit D, Additional Des	cription of the Varie	ty.			
14a. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED? (See Section 83(a). (If "Yes," answer			RIETY NAME ONLY AS	A CLASS OF CERTIFIED	
14b. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THA LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATI			B, HOW MANY GENER BREEDER SEED?	ATIONS OF PRODUC-	
X YES NO		X FOUNDATION	REGISTERED	X CERTIFIED	
15a. DID THE APPLICANT(S) FILE FOR PROT name of countries and dates.)	ECTION OF THIS VAI	RIETY IN OTHER COU	NTRIES? YES	X NO (If "Yes," give	
15b. HAVE RIGHTS BEEN GRANTED THIS VA	ARIETY IN OTHER CO	OUNTRIES? TYES	NO (If "Ves"	give name of countries	
and dates.)			<u></u> (-)	g	
16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) AGREE TO TH	E PUBLICATION OF I	IS/HER (THEIR) NAM	IE(S) AND ADDRESS IN	THE OFFICIAL	
17. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable replenished upon request in accordance				application and will be	
The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the variety is distinct, uniform, and stable 42 of the Plant Variety Act.					
Applicant(s) is (are) informed that fals	e representation here	ein can jeopardize pro	tection and result in	<del>oenalti</del> es.	
aug. 13, 1981		- H	Boumos	M	
(ØATE)			SIGNATURE OF APPLI	CANT)	

(DATE)

13A. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of Auburn.

Auburn (CI 17898) was developed by the Purdue University Agricultural Experiment Station in cooperation with AR, SEA, U.S. Department of Agriculture. Auburn resulted from a four-way cross to combine resistance to diseases with good plant type and excellent winterhardiness. The detailed parentage and method of combination are: Siete Cerros/Arthur/2/Oasis type/6/ Afghanistan sel./Knox 62 type/4/Knox\*2/2/Frontana/Exchange/3/Riley type/5/Arthur\*5/2/Arthur sib/Agatha/3/Oasis type.

The new variety was developed by the pedigree method breeding with plant selection in the  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $F_3$ , and  $F_4$  generations and with line selection in the  $F_9$  generation. In the  $F_9$  generation 52 of 100 plant progeny rows that were homozygous for moderate resistance to <u>Septoria</u> leaf blotch and resistant to leaf rust in the adult plant stage in the field in 1978 were bulked to form breeders' seed. Breeders' seed in 1980 was in the  $F_{11}$  generation of selfing.

Auburn was tested in disease nurseries from 1970 to 1980, in nursery yield trials from 1975 to 1980, in over-state drill plot trials from 1978 to 1980, and in the Uniform Eastern Soft Red Winter Wheat Nursery in 1980.

Soft wheat quality was evaluated from nursery samples in 1976, 1977, and 1979; and from drill plot samples in 1978 and 1979.

Auburn has been uniform and true breeding during our observations in developing breeders' seed.

No variants have been observed.

13B. Exhibit B, Novelty Statement.

Auburn has a unique combination of excellent winterhardiness, short culms, moderately early maturity, and resistance to powdery mildew, leaf rust, and Septoria leaf blotch diseases.

Auburn is about 5 cm shorter and 3 days later in maturity than Arthur. It has exceptional winterhardiness among commercial varieties (Table 8). It has resistance in the adult plant stage to the races of fungi, <u>Puccinia recondita</u> and <u>Erysiphe graminis</u>, currently prevalent in Indiana (Tables 5 and 6). Auburn has resistance to <u>Septoria</u> leaf blotch of a different genetic source than that of Oasis, Beau, and Sullivan (Table 4).

Auburn has a very slight expression of snakiness of peduncle.

#### Exhibit B

Auburn is most like Arthur in appearance but Auburn is resistant to <u>Septoria</u> leaf blotch, powdery mildew, and leaf rust in Indiana whereas Arthur is susceptible.

Auburn has the  $\rm H_6$  gene for resistance to the Hessian fly whereas Arthur and Doublecrop have the  $\rm H_3$  gene and Oasis, Arthur 71, Beau, Sullivan, and Downy have the  $\rm H_5$  gene.

Auburn, with the  $\rm H_6$  gene for resistance to Hessian fly, is different from each of its parents listed in Exhibit A except for Knox 62. Auburn is about 3 days later in maturity than Knox 62.

#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE LIVESTOCK, POULTRY, GRAIN & SEED DIVISION BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

EXHIBIT C (Wheat)

### OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY WHEAT (TRITICUM SPR.)

NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	
Purdue University Agricultural Experiment Station	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP Code)	8100164
Purdue University	VARIETY NAME OR TEMPORARY DESIGNATION
West Lafayette, IN 47907	Auburn
Place the appropriate number that describes the varietal character of this variety in Place a zero in first box (e-s- $089$ ) or $09$ ) when number is either 99 or less	n the boxes below. ss or 9 or less.
1. KIND:	
1 l=common 2=durum 3=emmer 4=spelt 5=polish 6=p	POULARD 7 = CLUB
2. TYPE:	
2 1 = SPRING 2 = WINTER 3 = OTHER (Specify) 1 = SOFT 2 = HARD	3 = OTHER (Specify)
2 1 = WHITE 2 = RED 3 = OTHER (Specify)	
SEASON - NUMBER OF DAYS FROM EMERGENCE TO:	
2 3 1 FIRST FLOWERING 2 3 8 L	AST FLOWERING
. MATURITY (50% Flowering):	
NO. OF DAYS EARLIER THAN	OUR 2 = SCOUT 3 = CHRIS
0 3 NO. OF DAYS LATER THAN	5 = NUGAINES 6 = LEEDS
PLANT HEIGHT (From soil level to top of head):	7.0
0 9 1 cm. High	
CM. TALLER THAN	
0 5 CM. SHORTER THAN	4 - 1 FEDS
PLANT COLOR AT BOOTING (See reverse):  7. ANTHER COLO	
2 1 = YELLOW GREEN 2 = GREEN 3 = BLUE GREEN 1 1 = YELLOW	•
STEM:	
2 Anthocyanin: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT 2 Waxy bloom:	1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
Hairiness of last internode of rachis: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT 1 Internodes:	1 = HOLLOW 2 = SOLID
	TERNODE LENGTH BETWEEN FLAG LEAF EAF BELOW
AURICLES:	
Anthocyanin: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT 1 Hairiness: 1	l=ABSENT 2=PRESENT
LEAF:	
Flag leaf at ] = ERECT 2 = RECURVED	
	= NOT TWISTED 2 = TWISTED
	of flag leaf sheath: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESEN
1 1 MM. LEAF WIDTH (First leaf below flag leaf) 1 6 CM. LEA	AF LENGTH (First leaf below flag leaf):
RM LPGS-470-6 (3-79) (Formerly Form GR-470-6 (2-73), which may be used)	· ·

		†

		•	0100701
11. HEAD:			2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -
Density: l = LAX	2 = DENSE	1 1 1	G 2 = STRAP 3 = CLAVATE pecity)
3 Awnedness: T = AWNL	ESS 2 = APICALLY AWNLETED 3 =	AWNLETED 4 = AWNED	
Color at maturity: 5 = 6	WHITE 2 = YELLOW 3 = PINK 4 = BROWN 6 = BLACK 7 = OTHER	RED ·	
0 9 CM. LENGTH		1 3 мм. wіртн	
12. GLUMES AT MATURITY	e service and the service and		
Length: 1 = SHORT (C 3 = LONG (CA	A. 7 mm.) 2 = MEDIUM (CA. 8 mm.) 1. 9 mm.)	2   Width: 1 = NARROW ( 3 = WIDE (CA.	
$1  ext{ } 1 = Glabrous$	2 = Pubescent		with the second second second second
	G 2 = OBLIQUE 3 = ROUNDED 5 = ELEVATED 6 = APICULATE	Beak: l = OBTUSE	2 = ACUTE 3 = ACUMINATE
13. COLEOPTILE COLOR:		14. SEEDLING ANTHOCYA	NIN:
1 = WHITE .2 = REC	3 - 811881 5	2 1 = ABSENT 2 =	PRESENT
T - WATTE ,2 - REL	J J FURFEL		
15. JUVENILE PLANT GROV			
	2 = SEMI-ERECT 3 = ERECT	r	
16. SEED:		4	
1 Shape: 1 = OVATE	2 = OVAL 3 = ELLIPTICAL	1 Cheek: 1 = ROUNDE	D 2 = ANGULAR
# (2/14/8) Brush: 1 = SHORT	2 = MEDIUM 3 = LONG		LLARED 2 = COLLARED
	I = IVORY 2 = FAWN 3 = LT. BROWN	Collar is n	on-prominent Buli4181
4 (See instructions):	4 = BROWN - 5 = BLACK		
3 Color: 1 = WHITE	2 = AMBER 3 = RED 4 = PURPLE	5 = OTHER (Specify)	
0 6 MM. LENGTH	0 3 MM. WIDTH	2 9 GM. PER 1000 S	EEDS
17. SEED CREASE:		B12/14/81	
1 Width: 1 = 60% OR LE	SS OF KERNEL 'WINOKA'		LESS OF KERNEL 'SCOUT'
•	SS OF KERNEL 'CHRIS'		LESS OF KERNEL 'CHRIS'
	WIDE AS KERNEL 'LEMHI'	3 = 50% OR	LESS OF KERNEL 'LEMH!'
F7	d, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2 STEM RUST (Races) See table	s 2 LEAF RUST (Races) See tables	0 STRIPE RUST (Races)	0 LOOSE SMUT
2 POWDERY MILDEW See tables	0 BUNT	2 OTHER (Specify) Se	ptoria leaf blotch
19. INSECT: (0 = Not Tested	l, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant)		
0 SAWFLY	1 APHID (Bydv.)	O GREEN BUG	1 CEREAL LEAF BEETLE
OTHER (Specify)	HESSIAN FLY	0 GP 2 A	2 B 1 c
	RACES:	1 D 0 E	0 F 0 G
00 IUDIO177 WILLOW	TV HOLT OLOGELY DELEUDI EG TUAT G	IIDMITTED:	
CHARACTER	TY MOST CLOSELY RESEMBLES THAT S NAME OF VARIETY	CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY
Plant tillering	Arthur	Seed size	Monon
Leaf size	Beau	Seed shape	Arthur
Leaf color	Abe	Coleoptile elongation	Arthur
Leaf carriage	Abe	Seedling pigmentation	Arthur
		CONTO NO	

#### INSTRUCTIONS

GENERAL: The following publications may be used as a reference aid for the standardization of terms and procedures for completing this form:

- (a) L.W. Briggle and L. P. Reitz, 1963, <u>Classification of Triticum Species and Wheat Varieties Grown in the United States</u>, Technical Bulletin 1278, United States Department of Agriculture.
- (b) W.E. Walls, 1965, A Standardized Phenol Method for Testing Wheat Seeds for Varietal Purity, contribution No. 28 to the handbook of seed testing prepared by the Association of Official Seed Analysts. (See attachment.)

LEAF COLOR: Nickerson's or any recognized color fan should be used to determine the leaf color of the described variety.

13D. Exhibit D. Description of Additional Characteristics.

Auburn has been exceptional in winterhardiness in Indiana compared with other soft red winter wheats (Table 12).

Auburn has excellent milling quality, good cookie-baking quality, and acceptable cake-baking quality (Table 6).

Auburn has good productivity and excellent agronomic type (Tables 1 to 5). The new variety gives excellent protection currently to natural epidemics of the leaf rust, powdery mildew, and <u>Septoria</u> leaf blotch diseases (Tables 8, 9, and 10). Its resistance to leaf rust derives from several sources, including Exchange and Frontana, and is genetically complex (See Schafer et al. 1963. Phytopathology 53:569-573).

Auburn is intolerant to aluminum in acid soils (Table 12), and is moderately susceptible to the barley yellow dwarf disease (Table 7).

Table 1. Comparative performance of Auburn in nursery plots at Lafayette, Indiana, 1975 - 80.

	Yield	Test Wt.	Kernel Wt.	Headed	Height	Pre-ripe	Post-ripe
				May		straw score	straw score*
	(4) <sup>*</sup>	(4)	(4)	(5)	(5)	(4)	1979
	(bu/A)	(ibs/bu)	(g/1000)		(In)	(0-9)	(0-9)
Auburn	77.7	60.1	30.1	23.6	34.4	3.5	7
Arthur	73.8	60.3	35.6	20.6	35.6	5.3	5
Monon	65.8	59.5	31.8	19.8	38.4	5.3	7
0asis	69.4	60.1	34.7	23.2	54.8	5.0	6
Beau	74.3	61.2	36.6	22.8	33.6	<b>3.</b> 5	3
Benhur	69.2	60.5	33.1	21.0	36.4	4.0	4
Caldwell	81.6	59.6	29.6	21.4	34.4	3.3	4
S. E. <sup>+</sup>	2.8	0.3	1.3	0.9	1.2	0.9	

Number of years in the mean. Yields and test weights were not included for 1978 because of severe winterkill of all varieties except Auburn.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Scored 0 = erect to 9 -= lodged flat. Post-ripe straw was rated 3 weeks after maturity.

<sup>\*</sup> Standard error of the difference between variety means.

Table 2. Porter County winter wheat performance trials, three year average, 1978 - 1980\*.

	•	Test			Winter-	Date
Variety	Yield**	Wt.	Lodging	Ht.	kIII	headed
	bu/A	lb/bu	8	In	8	
Auburn	73.7 a	60.7	0	34	4	6-01
Titan	68.8 b	58.4	0	36	6	6-05
Roland	68.7 b	58.3	0	31	9	6-02
Arthur	67.3 bc	60.7	0	37	7	6-01
<b>\$76</b>	67.0 bc	59.8	0	33	7	6-03
Abe	66.8 bc	60.5	0	35	9	6-01
Sullivan	66.2 bc	61.0	0	35	7	6-01
Beau	65.3 bc	61.3	0	35	9	6-02
Arthur 71	65.1 bc	60.7	0	36	6	6-02
Hart	63.7 bc	59.6	0	36	10	6-02
Monon	61.0 bc	60.3	0	39	9	5-31
Downy	60.6 c	59.9	0	35	10	6-02
Grand Mean	66.2	60.1	0	<del>-</del>		6-02
C.V. = 4.1%	00.2	00.1		35	8	6-02

<sup>\*</sup> Data from performance trials of K. M. Day and reported in part in Purdue University Agric. Exp. Stn. Bull. 290 (1980).

<sup>\*\*</sup> Means followed by the same letter or letters are not statistically different as determined by the Student-Newman-Keuls LSR test at the 0.10 level of probability.

Table 3. Tippecanoe County winter wheat performance trials, two-year average, 1979-1980\*.

		Test	Lodg-	Height	Winter-	Date
Variety	Yield**	Weight	ing		kill	headed
	bu/A	!b/bu	8	In	8	
<b>S78</b>	92.4 a	58.4	0	37	0	<b>5-31</b>
<b>\$76</b>	91.3 ab	58.3	0	38	0	5-30
Roland	88.6 abc	58.3	0	36	0	<b>5–3</b> 0
Titan	88.1 abc	57.6	6	42	Q	6-02
Auburn	87.3 abc	59.5	0	39	0	5-30
Hart	86.6 abc	58.4	8	42	0	5-28
Abe	85.1 abc	59.4	13	40	0	5-28
Downy	83.0 abc	59.1	20	42	0	5-29
Arthur 71	82.7 abc	59.7	21	42	0	5-28
Arthur	82.3 abc	59.4	11	43	0	5 <b>-</b> 27
Beau	81.7 abc	59.5	0	40	0	5-29
Sullivan	81.1 abc	59.5	15	41	0	5-27
Dancer	79.8 bc	59.4	43	45	0	5-28
Monon	77 <b>.</b> 3 c	59.0	36	44	0	5-26
Vigo	60.8 d	59.0	30	54	0	6-04
	· . • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				-	
Grand Mean	83.2	59.0	14	42	0	5-29
C. V. = 3.7%						

<sup>\*</sup> Data from performance trials of O. W. Luetkemeier and reported in part in Purdue University Agric. Exp. St. Bull. 290 (1980).

<sup>\*\*</sup>Means followed by the same letter or letters are not statistically different as determined by the Student-Newman-Keuls LSR test at the 0.10 level of probability.

Table 4. Randolph County winter wheat performance trials, two-year average, 1979 - 1980\*.

		Test	Lodg-		Winter-	Date
Variety	Yield**	Weight	ing	Height	kili	headed
	bu/A	lb/bu	\$	In	L	
Titan	82.6 a	58.3	1	41	2	5-31
Hart	79.8 ab	58.2	1	40	2	5-28
Roland	77.2 ab	58.4	0	35	2	5 <b>–</b> 30
Auburn	76.1 ab	59.4	1	39	2	5-30
Abe	74.4 ab	59.3	5	35	4	5-28
Arthur	74.1 ab	59.0	5	39	2	5-26
Sullivan	72.5 b	59.4	5	38	2	5-26
Downy	72.1 b	58.6	11	40	2	5-29
Beau	71.6 b	59.6	t	36	2	5-29
Arthur 71	70.1 b	59.4	4	38	3	5-27
Monon	69.8 Ь	58.6	22	41	2	5-28
Dancer	69.0 b	59.3	18	42	3	5-28
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>			
Grand Mean	74.0	59.0	6	39	2	5-28
C. V. = 5.1%						

<sup>\*</sup> Data from performance trials of K. M. Day and reported in part in Purdue University Agric. Exp. Stn. Bull. 290 (1980).

<sup>\*\*</sup>Means followed by the same letter or letters are not statistically different as determined by the Student-Newman-Keuls LSR test at the 0.10 level of probability.

Table 5. Knox County winter wheat performance trials, three-year average, 1978 - 1980\*.

	Acre	Test	Lodg-	Height	Winter-
Variety	yield.**	Weight	ing		kill
	bu.	Ib/bu	g	F.	d
Unash		•		Ĭn ,	\$
Hart	79.4 a	57 <b>.</b> 8	<b>1</b>	41	2
<b>\$76</b>	72.5 b	57.6	0	40	3
Arthur	71.9 b	59.3	14	42	2
S78	71.8 b	57.1	2	36	2
Titan	71.4 b	56.8	5	43	4
Auburn	70.8 ь	58.7	0	40	2
Roland	69.8 b	56.7	1	<b>37</b>	2
Abe	69.4 b	58.9	2	39	5
Beau	69.1 b	59.7	0	39	4
Sullivan	68.0 b	59.4	16	41	3
Arthur 71	65.5 b	59.2	16	41	2
Monon	62.7 b	58.4	30	43	2
					_
Grand Mean	70.2	58.3	7	40	. 3
C. V. = 6.1%		n de la companya de			

<sup>\*</sup> Data from performance trials of K. M. Day and reported in part in Purdue University Agric. Exp. Stn. Bull. 290 (1980).

<sup>\*\*</sup>Means followed by the same letter or letters are not statistically different as determined by the Student-Newman-Keuls LSR test at the 0.10 level of probability.

Table 6. Quality characteristics of wheat varieties as determined by the Soft Wheat Quality

Laboratory, Wooster, OH, for the 1979 crop from Indiana drill plot over-state

composite samples\*.

	Milling	Baking					
	quality	quality	Millability	Cooki	<u>e</u>	Cak	е
Variety	score	score	score	diameter	score	volume	score
				cm		cm <sup>3</sup>	
Auburn	100.9 A <sup>‡</sup>	96.0 B	116.1	18.0	6	1113	81
Arthur**	100.0 A	100.0 A	114.8	17.9	5	1097	87
Monon	103.5 A	84.1 E	119.4	17.6	4	1088	84
Abe	93.9 C	91.2 C	103.4	18.0	5	1078	86
0asis	95.9 B	91.9 C	107.9	17.8	6	1114	88
Beau	91.1 C	89.4 C	101.0	17.8	5	1137	87
Sullivan	93.8 C	99.8 B	105.1	18.1	6	1121	88
Hart	100.8 A	80.8 E	116.7	17.4	3	1109	82
Roland	99.8 B	102.2 A	111.9	18.1	6	1134	89
Titan	109.3 A	95.9 B	128.0	17.8	6	1096	83

<sup>\*</sup> Twenty pound sample size.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Standard for comparisons

Letters indicate levels of quality in relation to the standard variety. Letter A indicates a score as good as or better than the standard variety; letter B indicates a score measurably inferior to the standard for one character contributing to the milling or baking score; letter C, measurably inferior for two characters, etc.

Table 7. Comparative reactions to virus diseases of wheat varieties in nursery trials, 1976 - 1980.

	Soil-borne	Spindle steak	Barley yellow
•	mosaic	mosalc	dwarf
Cultivar	(5)	(1979)	(1978) (1979)
	(0-9)	(0-9)	(0-9) (0-9)
Auburn	5 <b>.</b> 3**	4.5**	7 <sup>+</sup> 5 <sup>+</sup>
Arthur	4.0	6.5	6 4
Monon	2.9	2.5	6 3
0asis	5.1	5.0	- 4
Beau	4.6	5.5	<del>-</del> 5
Redcoat	6.5	6.0	
Caldwell	5.8	5.5	5 2
s. E. ‡	0.7		

<sup>\*</sup> Number of years! data in mean.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Reactions scored from 0 = immune to 9 = very susceptible.

<sup>\*</sup> Artificially infested with viruliferous aphids in the field in the fall at Lafayette, IN. Scored: 0 = no stunting to 9 = severe stunting.

<sup>‡</sup> Standard error of the difference between variety means.

Table 8. Adult plant reaction to <u>Septoria tritici</u> in the field at Lafayette, IN.

	1978		19	1976	
	Severity*	Reaction type**	Severity	Reaction type**	Severity
	<b>%</b>		<b>3</b>		, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
Auburn	37	A	60	В	9
Arthur	37	D	50	D	55
Monon	55	D	40	C	55
Oasis	55	Α	5	A	26
Beau	55	C	5	В	26
Benhur	37	C	60	D	37
Caldwell	37	В	15	В	26

<sup>\*</sup> Disease severity rated as percent of area of the upper four leaves necrotic.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Reaction types: A = no pycnidia to D = abundant pycnidia in lesions.

Table 9. Reaction to powdery mildew in the adult plant stage in the field and for seedlings in the greenhouse\*.

	Infe	Seedling reaction	
	1979	976	In 1978 <sup>†</sup>
	*	<b>5</b>	0-4
Auburn	3	3	4
Arthur	40	7	3
Monon	80	25	# 1
0as1s	30	7	3
Beau	25	3	2 <sup>+</sup>
Benhur	10	10	4
Caldwell	15	0-5	<b>3<sup>+</sup></b>

<sup>\*</sup> Naturally occurring races of the pathogen.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Percent of leaf area affected.

<sup>\*</sup> Plant reactions: 2 = small colonies with sparse conidial chains lightly sporulating to 4 = large colonies with dense conidial chains and heavily sporulating.

Table 10. Leaf rust severity and reaction type at the adult plant stage in the field at Lafayette, in\*

		Percent Infection and Infection type **					
Variety	1979	1978	1976	1975			
Auburn	0 R	5 R	0 R	20 R-MR			
Arthur	10 S	5 MS	20 MS	2 MS			
Monon	60 S	60 S	70 S	50 S			
Oasis	2 S	10 MS	0 R	0 R			
Beau	2 S	15 MS	7 MS	0 R-20 MS			
Benhur	10 MS-MR	10 M	20 MS	0 R-50 MS			
Caldwell	5 S/1 R	2 R/15 M	S 0 R	0 R			

<sup>\*</sup> To races of <u>Puccinia recondita</u> occurring naturally at Lafayette, IN.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Percent of flag leaf area (modified Cobb scale) covered by uredinia.

Plant reactions: R = resistant fleck reaction; S = large sporulating uredinia; M = "moderately".

Table 11. Stem rust severity and reaction type at the adult plant stage in the field at Lafayette, IN.

in the second se		Percent infection and infection type*			
	Variety	1979	1975		
	Auburn	2 MS	0 R		
	Arthur	1 MR	0 R		
	Monon	10 MS	5 S		
	0asis	Tr MR	0 R		
	Beau	2 MS	0-1 R-S		
	Benhur	Tr R	0 R		
	Caldwell	1 R	0 R		

<sup>\*</sup> Percent of flag leaf sheath and peduncle area (modified Cobb scale) covered by uredinia. Plant reactions: R = resistant fleck reaction S = large sporulating uredinia; M = "moderately". Tests were performed with races 15 TMN, 15 TLM, 151 QFB, 151 QCB, and 17 HDL of Puccinia graminis f. sp. tritici

Table 12. Comparative winterhardiness and tolerance to aluminum of wheat varieties.

		Winter survival in 1978(%)*			Aluminum	
	Nursery	Field plots			tolerance	
	yield plots	Tippecanoe	Porter	Knox	score	
Variety	Lafayette, IN**	Co.**	Co.	Co.	(1 - 6)	
Auburn	68	97	95	100	6	
Arthur	48	67	85	100	5	
Monon	50	47	75	100	3	
0asis	46	<b>52</b>	85	100	6	
Beau	58	47	80	100	6	
Caldwell	36	30	80	100	2	
Hart	32	22	75	100	- -	
				•	* .	

<sup>\*</sup> Averages of four replications in the field.

<sup>\*\* 1978</sup> was a very severe test at Lafayette, IN for winterhardiness.

<sup>\*</sup> In liquid culture and scored 1 = excellent to 6 = poor.



#### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

#### AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE

Livestock, Poultry, Grain & Seed Division National Agricultural Library Beltsville, Maryland 20705

November 10, 1981

PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE

Gentlemen:

Subject: Application No. 8100164

Variety and Kind - 'Auburn' Wheat

As provided in section 83(a) of the Plant Variety Protection Act, 7 U.S.C. 2321, we request that the Certificate on the above variety be issued with a notation on each Certificate that the right to exclude others from selling, offering for sale, reproducing, importing or exporting the variety covered by this Certificate, or using it in producing a hybrid or different variety is waived.

It has been agreed that the certificate should be issued in the name(s) of:

Director, Purdue University Agricultural Experiment Station, and the

Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture

December 10, 1981

(Date)

B. R. Baumgardt, Director

Purdue University Agricultural Experiment Sta.